



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/273,468	03/22/1999	VLADIMIR VOLOKH	P-68422-US	7168

27130 7590 04/25/2005

EITAN, PEARL, LATZER & COHEN ZEDEK LLP
10 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 1001
NEW YORK, NY 10020

EXAMINER

TSAI, HENRY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2183

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/273,468

Applicant(s)

VOLOKH, VLADIMIR

Examiner

Henry W.H. Tsai

Art Unit

2183

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Henry W.H. Tsai.

(3) Dekel Shiloh.

(2) Naim Shichrur.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: April 20, 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1 and 16.


Identification of prior art discussed: Guehring et al.'692.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (a) Examiner indicated: the "sharp" cutting edge mentioned in Claims 1 and 16 of the proposed amendment faxed 4/11/05 is a relative term. Defining an angle to describe the cutting edge was suggested. However, it appears that the merged cutting edge between 22 and 9 of the cited prior art, Guehring et al.'692 is a rounded edge. (b) Applicant indicated that a RCE will be filed and the claims will be amended to overcome the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


4/20/05

HENRY W. H. TSAI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required